

Verification of Declaration of Adherence

Declaring Company: Sparkoo Technologies Ireland Co., Limited



EU
CLOUD
COC

Verification-ID 2024LVL02SCOPE5418

Date of Approval March 2026

Valid until March 2027

Table of Contents

1	Verification against v2.11 of the EU Cloud CoC	4
2	List of declared services	4
2.1	Huawei Cloud	4
2.1.1	Compute	4
2.1.2	Containers	4
2.1.3	Storage	4
2.1.4	Networking	5
2.1.5	Databases	5
2.1.6	Artificial Intelligence	5
2.1.7	Analytics	5
2.1.8	Middleware	5
2.1.9	Business Applications	5
2.1.10	Security and Compliance	5
2.1.11	Management and Governance	6
2.1.12	Migration	6
2.1.13	Content Delivery & Edge Computing	6
2.1.14	Media Services	6
2.1.15	Internet of Things	6
2.1.16	DevOps	6
2.1.17	Developer Services	6
2.1.18	Huawei Cloud Flexus	6
3	Verification Process - Background	7
3.1	Approval of the Code and Accreditation of the Monitoring Body	7
3.2	Principles of the Verification Process	7
3.3	Multiple Safeguards of Compliance	8

3.4	Process in Detail	8
3.4.1	Levels of Compliance	9
3.4.2	Final decision on the applicable Level of Compliance	10
3.5	Transparency about adherence	10
4	Assessment of declared services by Sparkoo (see 2.)	11
4.1	Fact Finding	11
4.2	Selection of Controls for in-depth assessment	11
4.3	Examined Controls and related findings by the Monitoring Body	12
4.3.1	Examined Controls	12
4.3.2	Findings by the Monitoring Body	12
5	Conclusion	13
6	Validity	14

1 Verification against v2.11 of the EU Cloud CoC

This Declaration of Adherence was against the *European Data Protection Code of Conduct for Cloud Service Providers* (**'EU Cloud CoC' or 'Code'**)¹ in its version 2.11 (**'v2.11'**)² as of December 2020.

Originally drafted by the Cloud Select Industry Group³ (**'C-SIG'**) the EU Cloud CoC – at that time called C-SIG Code of Conduct on data protection for Cloud Service Providers (**'CSPs'**) – was developed against Directive 95/46/EC⁴ and incorporated feedback by the European Commission as well as Working Party 29. Following an extensive revision of earlier versions of Code and further developing the substance of the Code (v2.11) and its provisions has been aligned to the European General Data Protection Regulation (**'GDPR'**)⁵.

2 List of declared services

2.1 Huawei Cloud⁶

Sparkoo, as a subsidiary of Huawei Cloud, is in charge of the cloud business for customers in Europe. Huawei Cloud opens up Huawei's technology achievements and expertise in ICT into cloud services for customers, partners, and developers. Huawei Cloud provides Everything as a Service, aiming at helping customers with the power of digital technology by providing comprehensive Infrastructure-as-a-Service, Technology-as-a-Service, and Expertise-as-a-Service offerings..⁷

2.1.1 Compute

- Elastic Cloud Server (ECS)
- Bare Metal Server (BMS)
- Auto Scaling (AS)
- Image Management Service (IMS)
- FunctionGraph

2.1.2 Containers

- Cloud Container Engine (CCE)

- SoftWare Repository for Container (SWR)
- Cloud Container Instance (CCI)
- Ubiquitous Cloud Native Service (UCS)
- CAE

2.1.3 Storage

- Object Storage Service (OBS)
- Elastic Volume Service (EVS)
- Scalable File Service (SFS)

¹ <https://eucoc.cloud>

² <https://eucoc.cloud/get-the-code>

³ <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/cloud-select-industry-group-code-conduct>

⁴ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:31995L0046>

⁵ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679>

⁶ <https://www.huaweicloud.com/eu>

⁷ **NOTE:** The content for the service description has been provided by the CSP and does not reflect any opinion of or assessment by the Monitoring Body.

- Scalable File Service Turbo (SFS Turbo)
- Cloud Backup and Recovery (CBR)
- Storage Disaster Recovery Service (SDRS)
- Key-Value Storage Service (KVS)
- DES

2.1.4 Networking

- Virtual Private Cloud (VPC)
- Elastic Load Balance (ELB)
- NAT Gateway (NAT)
- Elastic IP (EIP)
- Direct Connect
- Virtual Private Network (VPN)
- VPC Endpoint (VPCEP)
- Cloud Connect (CC)
- Enterprise Router (ER)
- Enterprise Switch (ESW)
- Global Accelerator

2.1.5 Databases

- RDS for MySQL
- RDS for PostgreSQL
- Document Database Service (DDS)
- TaurusDB
- Distributed Database Middleware (DDM)
- Data Replication Service (DRS)
- GeminiDB
- GaussDB
- RDS for SQLServer
- Data Admin Service (DAS)
- TaurusDB for PostgreSQL

2.1.6 Artificial Intelligence

- ModelArts
- Graph Engine Service (GES)
- Optical Character Recognition (OCR)

- Video Intelligent Analysis Service (VIAS)
- MA Guard
- OCR
- OptVerse

2.1.7 Analytics

- Data Lake Insight (DLI)
- Data Warehouse Service (DWS)
- MapReduce Service (MRS)
- DataArts Studio
- Cloud Search Service (CSS)
- DataArts Lake Formation
- CloudTable
- Data Ingestion Service (DIS)
- LakeFormation

2.1.8 Middleware

- Distributed Cache Service (DCS) for Redis
- API Gateway (APIG)
- EventGrid (EG)
- Distributed Message Service (DMS) for Kafka
- Distributed Message Service (DMS) for RocketMQ
- Distributed Message Service (DMS) for RabbitMQ
- Cloud Service Engine (CSE)

2.1.9 Business Applications

- Domain Name Service (DNS)
- Workspace
- ROMA Connect

2.1.10 Security and Compliance

- Anti-DDoS Service (AAD)
- Web Application Firewall (WAF)

- Host Security Service (HSS)
- Data Encryption Workshop (DEW)
- Database Security Service (DBSS)
- Cloud Firewall (CFW)
- Cloud Bastion Host (CBH)
- Data Security Center (DSC)
- Cloud Certificate Manager (CCM)
- SecMaster

2.1.11 Management and Governance

- Application Operations Management (AOM)
- Cloud Eye
- Identity and Access Management (IAM)
- Cloud Trace Service (CTS)
- Log Tank Service (LTS)
- Simple Message Notification (SMN)
- KooCLI
- Resource Formation Service (RFS)
- Application Performance Management (APM)
- Organizations
- Optimization Advisor (OA)
- OneAccess
- Resource Access Manager (RAM)
- Cloud Operation Center (COC)
- APM

2.1.12 Migration

- Cloud Data Migration (CDM)
- Object Storage Migration Service (OMS)
- Migration Center (MgC)
- Server Migration Service (SMS)

2.1.13 Content Delivery & Edge Computing

- Content Delivery Network (CDN)

- Whole Site Acceleration (WSA)

2.1.14 Media Services

- Live
- Video on Demand (VOD)
- Media Processing Center (MPC)
- MetaStudio

2.1.15 Internet of Things

- IoT Device Access (IoTDA)

2.1.16 DevOps

- API Explorer

2.1.17 Developer Services

- CodeArts
- ServiceStage

2.1.18 Huawei Cloud Flexus

- Huawei Cloud Flexus X Instance

3 Verification Process - Background

V2.11 of the EU Cloud CoC has been developed against GDPR and hence provides mechanisms as required by Articles 40 and 41 GDPR⁸.

3.1 Approval of the Code and Accreditation of the Monitoring Body

The services concerned passed the verification process by the Monitoring Body of the EU Cloud CoC, i.e., SCOPE Europe SRL⁹.

The Code has been officially approved in May 2021¹⁰. SCOPE Europe has been officially accredited as Monitoring Body in May 2021¹¹. The robust and complex procedures and mechanisms can be reviewed by any third-party in detail at the website of the EU Cloud CoC alongside a short summary thereof.¹²

3.2 Principles of the Verification Process

Notwithstanding the powers of and requirements set out by the supervisory authority pursuant to Article 41 GDPR, the Monitoring Body will assess whether a Cloud Service, that has been declared adherent to the Code, is compliant with the requirements of the Code - especially as laid down in the Controls Catalogue. Unless otherwise provided by the Code, the Monitoring Body's assessment process will be based on an evidence-based conformity assessment, based on interviews and document reviews; proactively performed by the Monitoring Body.

To the extent the Monitoring Body is not satisfied with the evidence provided by a CSP with regards to the Cloud Service to be declared adherent to the Code, the Monitoring Body will request additional information. Where the information provided by the CSP appears to be inconsistent or false, the Monitoring Body will - as necessary - request substantiation by independent reports.

⁸ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679>

⁹ <https://scope-europe.eu>

¹⁰ <https://www.gegevensbeschermingsautoriteit.be/publications/decision-n05-2021-of-20-may-2021.pdf>

¹¹ <https://www.gegevensbeschermingsautoriteit.be/publications/decision-n-06-2021-of-20-may-2021.pdf>

¹² <https://eucoc.cloud/en/public-register/assessment-procedure/>

3.3 Multiple Safeguards of Compliance

Compliance of adherent services is safeguarded by the interaction of several mechanisms, i.e., continuous, rigorous, and independent monitoring, an independent complaints' handling process, and finally any CSP declaring services adherent is subject to substantial remedies and penalties in case of any infringement.

3.4 Process in Detail

It is expected that, prior to any assessment of the Monitoring Body, each CSP assesses its compliance internally. When declaring its service(s) adherent to the EU Cloud CoC, each CSP must elaborate its compliance with each of the Controls as provided by the Code considering the Control Guidance, as provided by the Controls Catalogue, to the Monitoring Body.

The CSP may do so either by referencing existing third-party audits or certifications, their respective reports and by free text responses. Additionally, the CSP will have to provide a general overview of the functionalities, technical, organisational and contractual frameworks of the service(s) declared adherent.

With regards to internationally recognised standards, the Monitoring Body will consider the mapping as provided by the Controls Catalogue. However, the Monitoring Body will verify whether (a) any third-party certification or audit provided by the CSP applies to the Cloud Service concerned, (b) such third-party certification or audit provided by the CSP is valid, (c) such third-party certification or audit has assessed and sufficiently reported compliance with the mapped controls of the third-party certification or audit concerned. Provided that the aforementioned criteria are met, the Monitoring Body may consider such third-party certifications or audits as sufficient evidence for the compliance with the Code.

Within Initial Assessments, the Monitoring Body selects an appropriate share of Controls that will undergo in-depth scrutiny, e.g., by sample-taking and requesting further, detailed information including potentially confidential information. Within any other Recurring Assessment, the Monitoring Body will select an appropriate share of Controls provided that over a due period every Control will be subject to scrutiny by the Monitoring Body. Where applicable, aspects of current attention at the time of assessment shall be covered too, e.g., where such aspects were indicated in media reports, publications or actions of supervisory authorities.

If the responses of the CSP satisfy the Monitoring Body, especially if responses are consistent and of appropriate quality and level of detail, reflecting the requirements of the Controls and indicating appropriate implementation by the Control Guidance, then, the Monitoring Body verifies the service(s) declared adhered as compliant and thereupon, makes them subject to continuous monitoring.

3.4.1 Levels of Compliance

V2.11 of the Code provides three different levels of Compliance. The different levels of compliance relate only to the levels of evidence that are submitted to the Monitoring Body. There is, however, no difference in terms of which parts of the Code are covered, since adherent Cloud Services have to comply with all provisions of the Code and their respective Controls.

3.4.1.1 First Level of Compliance

The CSP has performed an internal review and documented its implemented measures proving compliance with the requirements of the Code with regard to the declared Cloud Service and confirms that the Cloud Service fully complies with the requirements set out in this Code and further specified in the Controls Catalogue. The Monitoring Body verifies that the Cloud Service complies with the Code by information originating from the CSP.

3.4.1.2 Second Level of Compliance

Additional to the “First Level of Compliance”, Compliance with the Code is partially supported by independent third-party certificates and audits, which the CSP has undergone with specific relevance to the Cloud Service declared adherent and which were based upon internationally recognised standards procedures. Any such third-party certificates and audits that covered controls similar to this Code, but not less protective, are considered in the verification process of the Monitoring Body. Each third-party certificates and audits that were considered in the verification process by the Monitoring Body shall be referred in the Monitoring Body’s report of verification, provided that the findings of such certificates were sufficiently and convincingly reported and documented towards the Monitoring Body and only to the extent such certificates and audits are in line with the Code. The CSP must notify the Monitoring Body if there are any changes to the provided certificates or audits.

The Controls Catalogue may give guidance on third-party certificates and audits that are equivalent to certain Controls in terms of providing evidence of complying with the Code.

However, to those Controls that the CSP has not provided any equivalent third-party certificate or audit, the Monitoring Body verifies that the Cloud Service complies with the Code by information originating from the CSP.

The Monitoring Body may refuse application of Second Level of Compliance if third-party certificates and audit reports, that are recognised by the Monitoring Body in the verification process concerned, are not covering an adequate share of Controls of this Code; such adequate share shall be subject to the discretion of the Monitoring Body, considering e.g., the share related to the overall amount of Controls of the Code or whether a full Section or topic is being covered.

3.4.1.3 Third Level of Compliance

Identical to the “Second Level of Compliance” but Compliance is fully supported by independent third-party certificates and audits, which the CSP has undergone with regard to the Cloud Service declared adherent and which were based upon internationally recognised standards.

To the extent a CSP refers to individual reports, such as ISAE-3000 reports, the CSP shall ensure that such reports provide sufficient and assessable information and details on the actual measures implemented by the CSP regarding the Cloud Service concerned. The Monitoring Body shall, if considered necessary, in consultation with the Steering Board, define further requirements on such individual reports, such as accreditation and training for auditors against the provisions and requirements of this Code.

3.4.2 Final decision on the applicable Level of Compliance

When declaring its Cloud Service adherent, the CSP indicates the Level of Compliance it is seeking to achieve. Any final decision, whether a CSP is meeting the requirements of a specific Level of Compliance is at the sole discretion of the Monitoring Body.

3.5 Transparency about adherence

Each service adherent to the EU Cloud CoC must transparently communicate its adherence by both using the appropriate Compliance Mark¹³ and referring to the Public Register of the EU Cloud CoC¹⁴ to enable Customers to verify the validity of adherence.

¹³ <https://eucooc.cloud/en/public-register/levels-of-compliance/>

¹⁴ <https://eucooc.cloud/en/public-register/>

4 Assessment of declared services by Sparkoo (see 2.)

4.1 Fact Finding

Following the declaration of adherence of Sparkoo Technologies Ireland Co., Limited (**'Sparkoo'**), the Monitoring Body provided Sparkoo with a template, requesting Sparkoo to detail its compliance with each of the Controls of the EU Cloud CoC.

As this declaration is a renewal¹⁵, the Monitoring Body requested from Sparkoo a confirmation that there has been no material change to the applicable technical and organisational and contractual framework. The Monitoring Body also requested from Sparkoo a comparison of the declared Cloud Services of last year and this year as well as to explicitly indicate any Cloud Services that are no longer included in the Declaration of Adherence and, where applicable, provide the Monitoring Body with adequate reasons. To the extent the list of Cloud Services was extended, the Monitoring Body requested a confirmation, that any such additional Cloud Services are subject to the same technical, organisational and contractual framework as the original Cloud Services.

Sparkoo promptly responded to the templates. Information provided consisted of references and list of actual measures meeting the requirements of each Control, a free text answer describing their measures, and a reference to third party audits and certifications, where applicable. This information was completed by the confirmations requested by the Monitoring Body as well as a detailed comparison of the declared Cloud Services between last year and this year verification highlighting the changes and the reasons for them.

4.2 Selection of Controls for in-depth assessment

Following the provisions of the Code and the Assessment Procedure applicable to the EU Cloud CoC¹⁶, the Monitoring Body analysed the responses and information provided by Sparkoo.

Sparkoo's declared services have been externally certified and audited. Sparkoo holds an ISO 27001 certificate, which is valid for the duration of the Declaration of Adherence, and the scope of registration includes all the declared services. The declaration of adherence referred to the respective ISO certification within the responses to Section 6 of the Code (IT Security). As provided by the Code, the Monitoring Body may consider third-party certifications and audits. Accordingly, the Monitoring Body

¹⁵ You can access the Verification Report of previous year via the following link: [Sparkoo - Verification Report - \(Year\)](#)

¹⁶ <https://eucoc.cloud/en/about/about-eu-cloud-coc/applicable-procedures/>

verified the certification and references. Further in-depth checks were not performed, as provided third-party certifications adequately indicated compliance.

4.3 Examined Controls and related findings by the Monitoring Body

4.3.1 Examined Controls

The Monitoring Body reviewed the submission from Sparkoo which outlined how all the requirements of the Code were met by Sparkoo's implemented measures. In line with the Monitoring Body's process outlined in Section 3.4, the Monitoring Body selected a subset of Controls from the Code for in-depth scrutiny. In-depth scrutiny reflects sample taking and follow-up questions, whilst the latter may address requests for clarifications or more detailed information. The Controls selected for this level of review were: 5.1.A, 5.1.B, 5.1.C, 5.1.D, 5.1.E, 5.1.F, 5.1.G, 5.1.H, 5.2.B, 5.2.D, 5.2.F, 5.2.G, 5.3.A, 5.3.B, 5.3.C, 5.3.D, 5.3.E, 5.3.F, 5.4.B, 5.4.C, 5.4.D, 5.4.E, 5.5.C, 5.5.D, 5.5.E, 5.5.F, 5.7.A, 5.7.B, 5.7.D, 5.7.E, 5.7.F, 5.8.A, 5.8.B, 5.9.B, 5.10.A, 5.10.B, 5.11.A, 5.11.B, 5.11.C, 5.12.A, 5.12.D, 5.13.A, 5.13.B, 5.14.D, 5.14.E, 6.1.A, 6.1.B, 6.1.C, 6.1.D, 6.2.H, and 6.2.P.

4.3.2 Findings by the Monitoring Body

During the process of verification, Sparkoo consistently prepared the Declaration of Adherence well and thoroughly. Sparkoo's responses were detailed and never created any impression of intentional non-transparency. Requests for clarification, additional and supporting information, as well as relevant samples were promptly dealt with and always met the deadlines set by the Monitoring Body.

Related to the Monitoring Body's requests (see section 4.1), Sparkoo indicated that no relevant changes to the Cloud Service Family were applied in regards of the implemented technical, organisational and contractual framework. Where additional Cloud Services were added, Sparkoo provided explicit confirmation that such Cloud Services belong to the same Cloud Service Family.

Sparkoo confirmed that a Cloud Service Agreement ("CSA") is in place with Customers determining the responsibilities of the Customers and the CSP regarding the security measures, the terms under which the Customer Personal Data shall be processed, the terms under which the CSP can engage subprocessors, and the processing activities related to Customer Personal Data carried out by the CSP and its subprocessors.

The assessment involved the subprocessor management process. Sparkoo indicated that it relies on a general written pre-authorization from the Customer prior to engaging subprocessors. Based on the information provided by Sparkoo, a process is in place to ensure that the CSP only engages subprocessors that can provide sufficient guarantees of compliance with the GDPR. This process comprises

contractual obligations, technical assessments, ongoing monitoring, and a risk management framework. In addition, Sparkoo confirmed that a list of subprocessors with general information on the existing subprocessors and applicable jurisdictions is made available to the Customer through the Data Processing Addendum (“DPA”). Moreover, if a subprocessor is rejected by the Customer, Sparkoo offers alternative options such as the possibility for Customers to exercise their termination rights.

When it comes to Data Subject Requests (“DSRs”), Sparkoo has implemented self-service functionalities which allow its Customers to independently handle DSRs. Sparkoo also provides Customer with supporting documentation and has established internal procedures that enable Customers to request support via dedicated channels when assistance with handling DSRs is needed.

Sparkoo confirmed that an up-to-date and accurate Record of Processing Activities (“ROPA”) is maintained on behalf of Customers, including all information required under Article 30.2 GDPR. Also, Sparkoo has established support channels that enable the Customer to provide relevant information, in order to keep the CSP’s records of processing activities up-to-date and accurate.

Furthermore, Sparkoo assists Customers with their Data Protection Impact Assessment (“DPIA”) by providing relevant information through its service documentation, compliance resources, and technical documentation. In the same vein, procedures are implemented to ensure that information provided to a Customer for their DPIA does not create a security risk for the CSP.

Moreover, Sparkoo confirmed that all employees and contractors are subject to appropriate confidentiality obligations before being engaged in data processing activities, which continue after the end of the employment or termination of the respective agreements. Training is provided to ensure that personnel involved in the processing of Customer Personal Data are aware of their confidentiality obligations.

Another area of focus was built around data breaches. Sparkoo provided information on its data breach and security incident response procedures, which are designed to identify, handle and respond to data breaches, should any occur. Sparkoo explained to the Monitoring Body the key aspects of these processes.

5 Conclusion

The information provided by Sparkoo was consistent. Where necessary, Sparkoo gave additional information or clarified their given information appropriately.

The Monitoring Body therefore verifies the services as compliant with the EU Cloud CoC based on the performed assessment as prescribed in 1. The service(s) will be listed in the Public Register of the EU Cloud CoC¹⁷ alongside this report.

In accordance with sections 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.2 and given the type of information provided by Sparkoo to support the compliance of its service, the Monitoring Body grants Sparkoo with a Second Level of Compliance.

6 Validity

This verification is valid for one year. The full report consists of 14 pages in total, whereof this is the last page closing with the Verification-ID. Please refer to the table of contents at the top of this report to verify that the copy you are reading is complete, if you have not received the copy of this report via the Public Register of the EU Cloud CoC¹⁸.

Verification-date: March 2026

Valid until: March 2027

Verification-ID: 2024LVL02SCOPE5418

¹⁷ <https://eucooc.cloud/en/public-register/>

¹⁸ <https://eucooc.cloud/en/public-register/>