

Verification of Declaration of Adherence

Declaring Company: SAP SE



EU
CLOUD
COC

Verification-ID 2026LVL02SCOPE5432

Date of Approval April 2026

Valid until April 2027

Table of Contents

1	Verification against v2.11 of the EU Cloud CoC	3
2	List of declared services	3
2.1	SAP Sales Performance Management	3
3	Verification Process - Background	4
3.1	Approval of the Code and Accreditation of the Monitoring Body	4
3.2	Principles of the Verification Process	4
3.3	Multiple Safeguards of Compliance	4
3.4	Process in Detail	5
3.4.1	Levels of Compliance	6
3.4.2	Final decision on the applicable Level of Compliance	7
3.5	Transparency about adherence	7
4	Assessment of declared services by SAP (see 2.)	7
4.1	Fact Finding	7
4.2	Selection of Controls for in-depth assessment	8
4.3	Examined Controls and related findings by the Monitoring Body	8
4.3.1	Examined Controls	8
4.3.2	Findings by the Monitoring Body	9
5	Conclusion	10
6	Validity	10

1 Verification against v2.11 of the EU Cloud CoC

This Declaration of Adherence was against the *European Data Protection Code of Conduct for Cloud Service Providers* (**'EU Cloud CoC'** or **'Code'**)¹ in its version 2.11 (**'v2.11'**)² as of December 2020.

Originally drafted by the Cloud Select Industry Group³ (**'C-SIG'**) the EU Cloud CoC – at that time called C-SIG Code of Conduct on data protection for Cloud Service Providers (**'CSPs'**) – was developed against Directive 95/46/EC⁴ and incorporated feedback by the European Commission as well as Working Party 29. Following an extensive revision of earlier versions of Code and further developing the substance of the Code (v2.11) and its provisions has been aligned to the European General Data Protection Regulation (**'GDPR'**)⁵.

2 List of declared services

2.1 SAP Sales Performance Management⁶

SAP Sales Performance Management (SPM) family of products offer cloud-based sales compensation and performance management solutions. SAP SPM empowers organizations to enhance and optimize their revenue operations by ensuring equitable territory and quota allocation, facilitating salesforce management, and streamlining sales compensation processes. The products encompass data on internal and external sales personnel, including their sales and commission information..⁷

- Incentive Management (IM)
 - Workflow
 - Embedded Analytics
- Territory and Quota
- Agent LifeCycle Management (ALM)
- Agent Connect (AX)

¹ <https://eucoc.cloud>

² <https://eucoc.cloud/get-the-code>

³ <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/cloud-select-industry-group-code-conduct>

⁴ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:31995L0046>

⁵ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679>

⁶ <https://www.sap.com/products/crm/sales.html#sales-performance-management>

⁷ **NOTE:** The content for the service description has been provided by the CSP and does not reflect any opinion of or assessment by the Monitoring Body.

3 Verification Process - Background

V2.11 of the EU Cloud CoC has been developed against GDPR and hence provides mechanisms as required by Articles 40 and 41 GDPR⁸.

3.1 Approval of the Code and Accreditation of the Monitoring Body

The services concerned passed the verification process by the Monitoring Body of the EU Cloud CoC, i.e., SCOPE Europe SRL⁹.

The Code has been officially approved in May 2021¹⁰. SCOPE Europe has been officially accredited as Monitoring Body in May 2021¹¹. The robust and complex procedures and mechanisms can be reviewed by any third-party in detail at the website of the EU Cloud CoC alongside a short summary thereof.¹²

3.2 Principles of the Verification Process

Notwithstanding the powers of and requirements set out by the supervisory authority pursuant to Article 41 GDPR, the Monitoring Body will assess whether a Cloud Service, that has been declared adherent to the Code, is compliant with the requirements of the Code - especially as laid down in the Controls Catalogue. Unless otherwise provided by the Code, the Monitoring Body's assessment process will be based on an evidence-based conformity assessment, based on interviews and document reviews; proactively performed by the Monitoring Body.

To the extent the Monitoring Body is not satisfied with the evidence provided by a CSP with regards to the Cloud Service to be declared adherent to the Code, the Monitoring Body will request additional information. Where the information provided by the CSP appears to be inconsistent or false, the Monitoring Body will - as necessary - request substantiation by independent reports.

3.3 Multiple Safeguards of Compliance

Compliance of adherent services is safeguarded by the interaction of several mechanisms, i.e., continuous, rigorous, and independent monitoring, an independent complaints' handling process, and

⁸ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679>

⁹ <https://scope-europe.eu>

¹⁰ <https://www.gegevensbeschermingsautoriteit.be/publications/decision-n05-2021-of-20-may-2021.pdf>

¹¹ <https://www.gegevensbeschermingsautoriteit.be/publications/decision-n-06-2021-of-20-may-2021.pdf>

¹² <https://euococ.cloud/en/public-register/assessment-procedure/>

finally any CSP declaring services adherent is subject to substantial remedies and penalties in case of any infringement.

3.4 Process in Detail

It is expected that, prior to any assessment of the Monitoring Body, each CSP assesses its compliance internally. When declaring its service(s) adherent to the EU Cloud CoC, each CSP must elaborate its compliance with each of the Controls as provided by the Code considering the Control Guidance, as provided by the Controls Catalogue, to the Monitoring Body.

The CSP may do so either by referencing existing third-party audits or certifications, their respective reports and by free text responses. Additionally, the CSP will have to provide a general overview of the functionalities, technical, organisational and contractual frameworks of the service(s) declared adherent.

With regards to internationally recognised standards, the Monitoring Body will consider the mapping as provided by the Controls Catalogue. However, the Monitoring Body will verify whether (a) any third-party certification or audit provided by the CSP applies to the Cloud Service concerned, (b) such third-party certification or audit provided by the CSP is valid, (c) such third-party certification or audit has assessed and sufficiently reported compliance with the mapped controls of the third-party certification or audit concerned. Provided that the aforementioned criteria are met, the Monitoring Body may consider such third-party certifications or audits as sufficient evidence for the compliance with the Code.

Within Initial Assessments, the Monitoring Body selects an appropriate share of Controls that will undergo in-depth scrutiny, e.g., by sample-taking and requesting further, detailed information including potentially confidential information. Within any other Recurring Assessment, the Monitoring Body will select an appropriate share of Controls provided that over a due period every Control will be subject to scrutiny by the Monitoring Body. Where applicable, aspects of current attention at the time of assessment shall be covered too, e.g., where such aspects were indicated in media reports, publications or actions of supervisory authorities.

If the responses of the CSP satisfy the Monitoring Body, especially if responses are consistent and of appropriate quality and level of detail, reflecting the requirements of the Controls and indicating appropriate implementation by the Control Guidance, then, the Monitoring Body verifies the service(s) declared adhered as compliant and thereupon, makes them subject to continuous monitoring.

3.4.1 Levels of Compliance

V2.11 of the Code provides three different levels of Compliance. The different levels of compliance relate only to the levels of evidence that are submitted to the Monitoring Body. There is, however, no difference in terms of which parts of the Code are covered, since adherent Cloud Services have to comply with all provisions of the Code and their respective Controls.

3.4.1.1 First Level of Compliance

The CSP has performed an internal review and documented its implemented measures proving compliance with the requirements of the Code with regard to the declared Cloud Service and confirms that the Cloud Service fully complies with the requirements set out in this Code and further specified in the Controls Catalogue. The Monitoring Body verifies that the Cloud Service complies with the Code by information originating from the CSP.

3.4.1.2 Second Level of Compliance

Additional to the “First Level of Compliance”, Compliance with the Code is partially supported by independent third-party certificates and audits, which the CSP has undergone with specific relevance to the Cloud Service declared adherent and which were based upon internationally recognised standards procedures. Any such third-party certificates and audits that covered controls similar to this Code, but not less protective, are considered in the verification process of the Monitoring Body. Each third-party certificates and audits that were considered in the verification process by the Monitoring Body shall be referred in the Monitoring Body’s report of verification, provided that the findings of such certificates were sufficiently and convincingly reported and documented towards the Monitoring Body and only to the extent such certificates and audits are in line with the Code. The CSP must notify the Monitoring Body if there are any changes to the provided certificates or audits.

The Controls Catalogue may give guidance on third-party certificates and audits that are equivalent to certain Controls in terms of providing evidence of complying with the Code.

However, to those Controls that the CSP has not provided any equivalent third-party certificate or audit, the Monitoring Body verifies that the Cloud Service complies with the Code by information originating from the CSP.

The Monitoring Body may refuse application of Second Level of Compliance if third-party certificates and audit reports, that are recognised by the Monitoring Body in the verification process concerned, are not covering an adequate share of Controls of this Code; such adequate share shall be subject to the discretion of the Monitoring Body, considering e.g., the share related to the overall amount of Controls of the Code or whether a full Section or topic is being covered.

3.4.1.3 Third Level of Compliance

Identical to the “Second Level of Compliance” but Compliance is fully supported by independent third-party certificates and audits, which the CSP has undergone with regard to the Cloud Service declared adherent and which were based upon internationally recognised standards.

To the extent a CSP refers to individual reports, such as ISAE-3000 reports, the CSP shall ensure that such reports provide sufficient and assessable information and details on the actual measures implemented by the CSP regarding the Cloud Service concerned. The Monitoring Body shall, if considered necessary, in consultation with the Steering Board, define further requirements on such individual reports, such as accreditation and training for auditors against the provisions and requirements of this Code.

3.4.2 Final decision on the applicable Level of Compliance

When declaring its Cloud Service adherent, the CSP indicates the Level of Compliance it is seeking to achieve. Any final decision, whether a CSP is meeting the requirements of a specific Level of Compliance is at the sole discretion of the Monitoring Body.

3.5 Transparency about adherence

Each service adherent to the EU Cloud CoC must transparently communicate its adherence by both using the appropriate Compliance Mark¹³ and referring to the Public Register of the EU Cloud CoC¹⁴ to enable Customers to verify the validity of adherence.

4 Assessment of declared services by SAP (see 2.)

4.1 Fact Finding

Following the declaration of adherence of SAP SE (**SAP**), the Monitoring Body provided SAP with a template, requesting SAP to detail its compliance with each of the Controls of the EU Cloud CoC.

Additionally, the Monitoring Body requested an overview and reasoned response on the actual structure of the services declared adherent and why declared services are to be considered a “service family”. A service family requires that all services rely on the same core infrastructure, with regard to hardware and software (i.e., technical framework), and are embedded in the same organisational and contractual framework.

¹³ <https://euococ.cloud/en/public-register/levels-of-compliance/>

¹⁴ <https://euococ.cloud/en/public-register/>

SAP promptly responded to the templates. Information provided consisted of references and list of actual measures meeting the requirements of each Control, a free text answer describing their measures, and a reference to third party audits and certifications, where applicable. SAP provided information illustrating the actual structure of the services declared adherent and describing the technical, organisational and contractual framework.

4.2 Selection of Controls for in-depth assessment

Following the provisions of the Code and the Assessment Procedure applicable to the EU Cloud CoC¹⁵, the Monitoring Body analysed the responses and information provided by SAP.

SAP's declared services have been externally certified and audited. SAP holds an ISO 27001 certificate, which is valid for the duration of the Declaration of Adherence, and the scope of registration includes all the declared services. The declaration of adherence referred to the respective ISO certification within the responses to Section 6 of the Code (IT Security). As provided by the Code, the Monitoring Body may consider third-party certifications and audits. Accordingly, the Monitoring Body verified the certification and references. Further in-depth checks were not performed, as provided third-party certifications adequately indicated compliance.

4.3 Examined Controls and related findings by the Monitoring Body

4.3.1 Examined Controls

The Monitoring Body reviewed the submission from SAP which outlined how all the requirements of the Code were met by SAP's implemented measures. In line with the Monitoring Body's process outlined in Section 3.4, the Monitoring Body selected a subset of Controls from the Code for in-depth scrutiny. In-depth scrutiny reflects sample taking and follow-up questions, whilst the latter may address requests for clarifications or more detailed information. The Controls selected for this level of review were: 5.1.B, 5.1.C, 5.1.F, 5.2.A, 5.2.C, 5.2.D, 5.2.E, 5.2.F, 5.2.G, 5.3.B, 5.3.C, 5.3.D, 5.3.E, 5.4.A, 5.4.B, 5.4.E, 5.5.A, 5.5.C, 5.5.D, 5.7.A, 5.7.B, 5.7.F, 5.11.C, 5.12.E, 5.12.F, 5.13.A, 5.14.B, 5.14.D, 6.1.C, 6.2.H, 6.2.I, 6.2.P and 6.3.A.

¹⁵ <https://eucooc.cloud/en/about/about-eu-cloud-coc/applicable-procedures/>

4.3.2 Findings by the Monitoring Body

During the process of verification, SAP consistently prepared the Declaration of Adherence well and thoroughly. SAP's responses were detailed and never created any impression of intentional non-transparency. Requests for clarification, additional and supporting information, as well as relevant samples were promptly dealt with and always met the deadlines set by the Monitoring Body.

Monitoring Body verified that declared Cloud Services qualify both as Cloud Service under the Code and as Cloud Service Family. Related to the Monitoring Body's requests (see section 4.1), SAP provided information outlining the structure of the services, contractual and supporting documents enabling the Monitoring Body to better understand SAP's service offerings. SAP provided explicit confirmation that all Cloud Services declared adherent belong to the same Cloud Service Family.

A first area of focus was around data retention and deletion. The CSP has confirmed implementing automated deletion functionalities and has provided details on its retention schedule. Information on such retention is provided to the Customers through official product documentation. In addition to this, the Customer is provided with self-service functionalities to export Customer Personal data from the service at the end of the Cloud Services Agreement.

Regarding third country transfers, SAP has implemented safeguards as provided by Chapter V GDPR. SAP relies on Standard Contractual Clauses ("SCCs") as an overarching transfer mechanism. This is clearly indicated in the CSP's Data Processing Agreement ("DPA"). The CSP has provided details on its technical and organisational measures ("TOMs"), which are enforced by the CSP to safeguard third country data transfers.

Another area of focus was built around subprocessor management. The CSP is provided with a general authorisation to subcontract the processing of Customer Personal data. SAP has a defined mechanism to notify Customers of changes in subprocessors. The timelines for objection and/or exercising an alternative option, as well as the means to do so, were confirmed by SAP's contractual documents. The good standing of subprocessors, as well as the TOMs implemented by them are verified by SAP. In the same vein, flow-down mechanisms are implemented, and this includes contractual obligations for subprocessors to adhere to the same standards of data processing as SAP.

SAP's records of processing activities ("ROPA") built another area of focus. Based on the information provided, SAP maintains a ROPA in its capacity as a Processor, which includes the relevant information as per Article 30.2 GDPR. The relevant communication channels for Customers to provide the relevant information in relation to the completion and relevancy of the ROPA were confirmed by SAP.

Procedures to deal with requests from Supervisory Authorities were assessed. SAP's contractual documents confirmed SAP's contractual obligations to notify Customers, where legally permissible. In addition to this, SAP has an internal procedure to operationalise all privacy requests, including requests from Supervisory Authorities, which outlines the steps taken by SAP to deal with the respective requests internally and any potential internal escalations.

5 Conclusion

The information provided by SAP was consistent. Where necessary, SAP gave additional information or clarified their given information appropriately.

The Monitoring Body therefore verifies the services as compliant with the EU Cloud CoC based on the performed assessment as prescribed in 1. The service(s) will be listed in the Public Register of the EU Cloud CoC¹⁶ alongside this report.

In accordance with sections 3.4.1.2 and 3.4.2 and given the type of information provided by SAP to support the compliance of its service, the Monitoring Body grants SAP with a Second Level of Compliance.

6 Validity

This verification is valid for one year. The full report consists of 10 pages in total, whereof this is the last page closing with the Verification-ID. Please refer to the table of contents at the top of this report to verify that the copy you are reading is complete, if you have not received the copy of this report via the Public Register of the EU Cloud CoC¹⁷.

Verification-date: April 2026

Valid until: April 2027

Verification-ID: 2026LVL02SCOPE5432

¹⁶ <https://eucooc.cloud/en/public-register/>

¹⁷ <https://eucooc.cloud/en/public-register/>